

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION

ARTHUR L. ROBERTSON,
Petitioner,

v.

DIRECTOR, TDCJ-CID,
Respondent.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§

3:20-CV-3169-X-BK

**ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND
RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

The United States Magistrate Judge made Findings, Conclusions, and a Recommendation in this case. No objections were filed. The District Court reviewed the proposed Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation for plain error. Finding none, the Court **ACCEPTS** the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the successive habeas petition is **TRANSFERRED** to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3); 28 U.S.C. § 1631.¹ The Clerk of the Court is **directed** to close this case.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 10th day of November 2020.



BRANTLEY STARR
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹ An order transferring a successive application to the court of appeals is not a final order requiring a certificate of appealability. *See United States v. Fulton*, 780 F.3d 683, 688 (5th Cir. 2015); *Brewer v. Stephens*, 605 F. App'x 417 (5th Cir. 2015) (per curiam).